

Source Selection Evaluation

Considerations for Selecting Teams

Presented by:
Jim Linard, Contract
Specialist
DLA A-76 Contracting Support
Office
2004 Phas 96 2004 ence



Evaluation <u>Factors and Teams</u>

- Price
 - Cost Evaluation Board (CEB)
- Past Performance
 - Performance Risk Assessment Group (PRAG)
- Technical
 - Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB)
- Socioeconomic Programs

All Contracting Office ointed by the SSAC 26hairpersonce



- Price is evaluated for:
 - Completeness: proposal contains costs to perform all tasks required
 - Reasonableness: utilizing cost or price analysis techniques as described in the FAR, along with assistance from DCAA

Does the offeror's price proposal include all the costs associated with the work to be performed and are those castal and are those



- Past Performance is evaluated for:
 - Relevance: similarity of service, complexity, technology, magnitude of effort, contract scope and type, and schedule
 - Quality: quality of service, timeliness of performance, cost control, business relations, transition of operations, and financial and business stability
 - Overall Risk: overall risk associated with offeror's ability to perform, based on relevable offeror alignst performance prepared them for the work to be 2004 DLA-A-76 Conference



- <u>Technical</u> proposal is evaluated for:
 - Completeness and clarity
 - Degree of compliance with the solicitation
 - Risk that the approach will be unsuccessful as proposed
 - Subjective assessment no absolute standards EXCEPT those defining the minimum requirements

 Does the offeror demonstrate an understanding of the scope and complexity of the Awork person be performed?



- Socioeconomic proposal:
 Subcontracting Plan (required for large businesses only); Socioeconomic Program Utilization; Javits-Wagner-O'Day (JWOD) Act Entity Utilization; and, DLA Mentoring Business Agreements (MBA) Program Participation
- Evaluated for responsiveness to the requirements of the regulations and How meaningful (\$, complexity, variety) is the proposed participation?

2004 DLA A-76 Conference



Team Composition

- Cost Evaluation Board (CEB)
 - Contracting Officer chairs
 - Members may include senior Price/Cost Analysts, Contract Specialists, and DORRA analysts (≥2)
- Performance Risk Assessment Group (PRAG)
 - Members may include senior technical experts from DLA J-3, requiring activity, and DCMA (3 4 total)
 - Usually chaired by the requiring activity



Team Composition

- Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB)
 - Members may include senior technical experts from DLA J-3, requiring activity, internal DLA customers, external (military) customers, host activity, and the Contracting Specialist (5 - 7 total)
 - Usually chaired by the requiring activity



Roles and Responsibilities

- Assist the Source Selection Advisory Council (SSAC) and the Source Selection Authority (SSA) with their decision-making
- Produce suitable expert analysis covering factors pertinent to the source selection decision
- Make recommendations to the SSAC based on their evaluations



Safeguards

- All board member nominees must confirm that they, or any family member, would not be adversely affected by a function being contracted out
- Nominees must sign a certificate of non-disclosure and conflict of interest declaration
- Each evaluation board is isolated from the others



Preparation

- Each evaluation board receives formal training on the selection process and applicable rules
- Members need to be familiar with the solicitation
- Members receive copies of the Source Selection Plan (SSP), evaluation aids, and templates for the required report and briefing



Specific Requirements - CEB

- Familiarity with cost principles
- Understanding of applicable cost elements and estimates
- Understanding of the Performance Work Statement
- Familiarity with Excel, Word, and PowerPoint



Specific Requirements -

- Understanding of the Performance Work Statement
- Familiarity with the skills essential for performance of the activity's mission
- Willingness to call survey respondents for additional information
- Familiarity with Word and PowerPoint



Specific Requirements -

- Understanding of the Performance Work Statement
- Familiarity with the organization's mission and customers
- Familiarity with the work requirements and skills essential for performance of the activity's mission
- Willingness to consider alternate approaches to the way it's always been done
- Familiarity with Word and PowerPoint



General Requirements

- Strong analytical skills
- Strong verbal and written communication skills
- Significant time commitment. For the SSEB:
 - 2-3 days for site visit and pre-proposal conference
 - 2-3 weeks for initial review
 - 1-2 weeks for oral presentations
 - 1-2 weeks for proposal revisions
 - 1 week x 2 for SSAC presentations